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An invitation to natural and organic food producers:

Validate your non-GMO claims to meet buyers demands

Recent industry market research indicates that buyers of natural and organic products want and expect foods without genetically modified organisms (GMOs). Now you can provide assurance to your consumers through Genetic ID's GMO testing services.

Genetic ID, the global leader in GMO identification, has served the natural and organic food industries for over 10 years.

Free Consultation
Call for a free initial consultation with one of our senior technical advisors on how to most effectively test your products for GMOs.
Toll free 1.888.229.2011 or e-mail info@genetic-id.com
www.genetic-id.com
TRUE WELLNESS

Our Vision

“...to be a vehicle of consciousness in the global market by creating a holistic sustainable business modality, which inspires, promotes and supports well-being and respect for all beings and Mother Nature.”

- Certified Organic Products
- Sustainably and ethically farmed & wildcrafted
- Fairly Traded
- Non GMO

OrganicIndiaUSA.com

GMO QUESTIONS?
SGS HAS ANSWERS

GMO quantitative testing of plants, seed, grain and processed food products.

SGS is the world leader in inspection, verification, testing and certification.

Brookings, SD
T: 1 877 692 7611
E: us.seedinfo@sgs.com

WWW.SEEDSERVICES.SGS.COM

WHEN YOU NEED TO BE SURE

Solvent-free Sunflower Lecithin
Non-GMO • No Hexane
Allergen-free • Unbleached
100% Natural

Contact us for more information
561.586.7145
info@austradeinc.com
www.austradeinc.com
Roberts Seed, Inc.
Soybeans, Corn, Grain Sorghum/Milo,
Popcorn, Oats, Wheat, Barley, Soybean Meal
Food Grade - Feed Grade - Quality Seed
Phone: 308-743-2565 • robertsseed@gtmc.net
982 22 Road, Axtell NE 68924
Certified Organic
Non-GMO

IndiaLife
Wrap up healthy organic profits

Harvest Innovations
Hexane-Free, non-GMO Soy Concentrates
Defatted Flours
Textured Vegetable Proteins
Pre-Cooked Gluten Free Flours
EggOut® Egg Replacers
Soy Protein Crisps
Dehulled non-GMO Soybeans
Expeller Pressed Soy Oil
Soy Fiber
Harvest Innovations, the Leader in Hexane-Free Soy Products and non-GMO and Organic Ingredients for the Food Industry
www.harvest-innovations.com
515.962.5063

You have the right to know about your food.

Everything in our cup is real food—we use pure organic ingredients made without the use of antibiotics, synthetic growth hormones, GMOs, artificial sweeteners or other unhealthy stuff. If you, like us, want to avoid eating genetically engineered food that’s grown with toxic persistent pesticides, then eat organic. Join us in our efforts to make sure you know about your food at JustLabelIt.org.

Stonyfield Organic
Raspberry Smooth and Creamy Low Fat

Rumiano Family Organic Cheese
The Certified Non-GMO Organic Cheese
Fourth Generation Family Dairy Farmers
Grass Fed Year Round
www.rumianocheese.com 530.934.5438
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Editor’s Note
GM food labeling heroes present and past
Labeling of genetically modified foods is a hot topic. In my 12-plus years covering the GMO debate, I’ve never seen so much grassroots action around labeling.

This is the subject of our lead article, which also focuses on heroic state lawmakers who are introducing labeling bills and taking on big money agribusiness interests to fight for the right to know whether foods are GM or not. State representatives like Maralyn Chase and Cary Con- dotta of Washington State, Richard Roy and Diana Urban of Connecticut, Kate Webb of Vermont, and others are championing the right to know in their states and deserve great credit for their efforts.

Speaking of heroic actions, kudos to GM food labeling advocate Adam Eidinger for going to Monsanto shareholders’ annual meeting in January and speaking for a resolution from Harrington Investments that would require the company to examine the risks of their GM crops. Eidinger asked Monsanto CEO Hugh Grant very pointed questions about the negative impacts of Monsanto’s GMOs and whether the company would fight the California ballot initiative for labeling.

In response, Grant said that Monsanto complies with and supports US laws regarding labeling. He also said, “There is an increasing category of GMO-free (labeled products) as well,” indicating that Mon- santo is keeping its eye on the growth of the non-GMO market. After the meeting Eidinger spoke directly to Grant and other members of Monsanto’s executive team and he said overall the interaction was cordial. Eidinger’s presentation was very well-done and professional.

The Just Label It initiative continues to gather more signatures to its petition to the FDA to require labeling of GM foods. By mid-Feb- ruary more than 750,000 people had submitted comments supporting the petition.

This initiative reminds me of a similar campaign organized by Laura Ticciati of Mothers for Natural Law in 1999, which also petitioned the FDA for labeling. They submitted some 750,000 signa- tures. That initiative didn’t change FDA’s policy, which makes me wonder if Just Label It will either. But perhaps combined with all the other initiatives, there will be a breakthrough.

The best chance for a breakthrough may be California’s ballot initiative, which was started by another hero, Pam Laron.

In the coming months, we will be covering that closely.

Ken Roseboro
GM food labeling advocates attacking issue from all sides

Initiatives include CA ballot measure, FDA petition, federal and state bills, congressional lobbying

By Ken Roseboro

S

ince the introduction of genetically modified foods in the United States in the mid-1990s, there have been many initiatives to label GM foods at both the federal and state levels, but there have never been as many initiatives going on at once as there are now. Since last fall there has been a Right2Know March from New York City to Washington, DC, an online petition to the Food and Drug Administration calling for GM food labeling that has generated more than 750,000 comments and counting, a ballot initiative in California to put a labeling law on the ballot for this year’s election, federal labeling legislation introduced by Congressman Dennis Kucinich, and most recently a “Dear Colleague” letter circulating in Congress to encourage representatives to support labeling.

Bills introduced in 20 states

Meanwhile, lawmakers in 20 states have introduced bills to label GM foods in the past year, an unprecedented level of legislative activity on labeling. According to the Truth in Labeling Coalition, bills have been introduced in 20 states, including Illinois, Alaska, California, North Carolina, Iowa, Maryland, New York, Oregon, Washington, Rhode Island, West Virginia, Vermont, Tennessee, Hawaii, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Jersey, Michigan, and New Hampshire.

To date, none of the bills have passed, due to lobbying from agribusiness interests with their moneyed influence on state and federal lawmakers. Alaska has been the only state to pass a GM food labeling law and this applies to GM fish only.

Bipartisan support for Washington labeling bills

In Washington State, two labeling bills were introduced into the legislature in January. The bills were bipartisan with the house bill (HB 2637) introduced by Republican Rep. Cary Con-dotta, and the senate bill (SB 6298) introduced by Democratic Sen. Maralyn Chase.

Chase argued that the labeling bill is critical to protect Washington’s wheat exports to GMO-sensitive markets such as Japan. “If genetically modified wheat is approved in the United States without a labeling system, the effect on our state’s economy and farmers would be devastating,” she says.

Neither bill passed the House and Senate Agricultural Committees. But Trudy Bialic, director of public affairs at PCC Markets, said bill supporters only had six days to organize.

However, Bialic was encouraged because the bills received strong public support. “The amount of public support with emails, calls, and people showing up at the legislature was almost as big as the turnout for (Washington’s) gay marriage bill,” she says.

Chase says there is good bipartisan support for labeling from both wheat farmers in eastern Washington and sustainable food consumers in western Washington. “It represents an unusual confluence of agreement across the customary divide,” she says.

Supporters plan to re-introduce the bills in the fall, which will allow more time for organizing and talking to legislators.

“They should be promoting their products, not hiding them”

In Connecticut, Rep. Richard Roy has introduced a labeling bill in the state’s General Assembly.

Roy, who is chairman of the environment committee, introduced the bill because of increasing dissatisfaction with the industrial food system. “The industry is running roughshod over our food, and I feel something should be done. If we have labeling, people will have a choice,” he says.

Roy believes the lack of labeling means the biotech industry is hiding something from consumers. “If GMOs are that good, they should be promoting their products, not hiding them.”

Roy believes his bill will pass the environment committee and go to the floor of the Connecticut Assembly for a vote. Another Connecticut
assembly member, Rep. Diana Urban, also supports the labeling bill.

Urban, who is also an economist, argues that labeling makes sense from an economic standpoint. “Markets work best when consumers have as much information as possible. They are better able to choose and that drives the market. When you buy a car or washing machine you want as much information as possible, why not with food?” she asks.

Urban is also concerned about the safety of GM foods. “God knows what we are doing. I shiver at the way scientists are manipulating DNA,” she says.

Urban had previously twice introduced GM food labeling bills in Connecticut. Ironically, Roy voted against her bills. Now he is on her side.

Vermont
In Vermont, Rep. Kate Webb of Shelburne introduced H.722, the Vermont Right to Know Genetically Engineered Food Act on February 1. The bill has been referred to the House Committee on Agriculture where testimony was anticipated to occur in February.

Webb says the bill has strong support. “In addition to many of my colleagues, the bill is also supported by the Vermont Right to Know GMOs coalition that is made up of a broad range of farmers, consumer protection, agriculture, and public health organizations.

“Based on the overwhelmingly positive response I have received to the bill I think that Vermonter want to see it passed this session. I do expect that we will see some significant pressure from large biotech food producers as we continue in the process.”

Webb isn’t surprised by the nationwide grassroots action on GM food labeling. “We continue to see more reports of the environmental impacts and possible health effects (of GMOs),” Webb says. “In the face of this Vermonter, and consumers around the country, are simply asking for the right to know—we want to make an informed choice for ourselves and our children.”

California ballot initiative moves to signature gathering

The California GM food labeling ballot initiative is underway with volunteers starting to gather the 550,000 signatures needed to put the measure on the ballot for this year’s election.

According to initiative leader Maurizio Garzio, the goal is to collect more than 800,000 signatures by April 18 to ensure enough valid signatures are collected.

Garzio is confident his group will be able to collect the required number of signatures to put the initiative on the ballot.

The California Right to Know Genetically Engineered Food Act would require food sold in grocery stores to be

California Right to Know Genetically Engineered Food Act would require food sold in grocery stores to be
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labeled if it contained genetically altered products.

The initiative has received financial support from Dr. Bronner’s Magic Soap, Nature’s Path Foods, and Dr. Mercola. If the measure is put on the ballot, supporters of GM crops including farm groups and biotechnology companies are likely to spend millions of dollars to defeat it.

In 2002, a similar GM food labeling ballot initiative was defeated in Oregon after major food manufacturers and biotechnology companies, such as General Mills, Kellogg Company, Monsanto and DuPont, spent more than $5 million in advertising, claiming that a labeling law would increase food costs.

David Bronner, president of Dr. Bronner’s Magic Soap, said that polling has showed that 75% of Californians support GM food labeling and that even after being presented with arguments against labeling such as increasing food costs, 67% continued to strongly support it.

Members of Congress urged to back GM food labeling

In response to the legal petition filed on October 4th with the US Food and Drug Administration demanding that the agency require the labeling of genetically engineered foods, Senator Barbara Boxer (CA) and Representative Peter DeFazio (OR) have authored a bicameral Congressional letter in support of the petition urging their fellow Members on Capitol Hill to sign onto their letter.

As of mid-February Senators Mark Begich (AK), Jon Tester (MT), and Ron Wyden (OR) had signed along with House of Representatives Richard Hanna (NY), Chellie Pingree (ME), Dennis Kucinich (OH), James McGovern (MA), Jim Moran (VA), Pete Stark (CA), Sam Farr (CA), George Miller (CA), and Jared Polis (CA).

The letter calls the US Food and Drug Administration’s policy to not label GE foods “decades old,” and points to consumer polls showing that overwhelming majorities of Americans believe GE foods should be labeled.

The letter states, “The FDA should protect consumer rights and prevent consumer deception by requiring the labeling of GE foods. By giving American consumers information fundamental to their choices in the marketplace, we protect and promote the integrity and health of our economy.”

The Truth in Labeling coalition is asking Americans to contact their congressional representatives and urge them to sign the Boxer-DeFazio Dear Colleague Letter and protect our freedom of choice.

To send a letter to your representatives, visit http://gefoodlabels.org/.
Organic equivalency established between EU and US

The world’s two largest markets for organic food expand organic market access

At a press event at the BioFach World Organic Trade Fair in February, European Commissioner Dacian Ciolos for the European Union’s (EU) Agriculture and Rural Development, and Deputy Secretary Kathleen Merrigan of the US Department of Agriculture announced the signing of an organic equivalence arrangement between the world’s two largest markets for organic food. Under the proposed arrangement, the EU and United States will work together to promote strong organic programs, protect organic standards, enhance cooperation, and facilitate trade in organic products.

Officials noted the EU - US organic equivalence cooperation arrangement will expand market access for organic producers and companies by reducing duplicative requirements and certification costs on both sides of the ocean while...
continuing to protect organic integrity.

“This monumental agreement will further create jobs in the already growing and healthy US organic sector, spark additional market growth, and be mutually beneficial to farmers both in the United States and European Union as well as to consumers who choose organic products,” said Christine Bushey, Executive Director and CEO of the US-based Organic Trade Association (OTA). She added, “Equivalence with the EU will be an historic game changer.”

As a result, certified organic products as of June 1 can move freely between the United States and EU borders provided they meet the terms of the new arrangement.

Additionally, both programs have agreed to exchange information on animal welfare issues, and on methods to avoid contamination of organic products from genetically modified organisms. ■

Monsanto gets a red “F” in sustainable agriculture

The Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS) recently published a new web feature documenting how agribusiness giant Monsanto is failing to deliver on its promise to make the US agriculture system more sustainable.

“Monsanto talks about ‘producing more, conserving more, improving lives,’ but its products are largely not living up to those aspirations,” said Doug Gurian-Sherman, a senior scientist with UCS’s Food and Environment Program. “In reality, the company is producing more engineered seeds and herbicide and improving its bottom line, but at the expense of conservation and long-term sustainability.”

UCS explores eight ways that Monsanto has failed to deliver on its sustainability claims. The company is undermining efforts to promote sustainability by:

1. Fostering weed and insect resistance. Monsanto’s Roundup Ready and Bt technologies lead to resistant weeds and insects that can make farming more difficult and reduce sustainability.
2. Increasing herbicide use. Roundup resistance has led farmers to use more herbicides, which threatens biodiversity, sustainability, and human health.
3. Spreading gene contamination. Engineered genes have a bad habit of turning up in non-genetically engineered crops. When that happens, sustainable farmers—and their customers—pay a high price.
4. Expanding monocultures. Monsanto’s focus on a few commodity crops contributes to reduced biodiversity and, as a consequence, to more pesticide use and fertilizer pollution.
5. Marginalizing alternatives. Monsanto’s single-minded focus on genetic engineering fixes may come at the expense of cheaper, more effective solutions, such as classical crop breeding and ecological farming methods.
6. Lobbying and advertising: Monsanto spends more than other agribusiness companies to persuade Congress and the general public to support the industrial agriculture status quo.
7. Suppressing research. Monsanto thwarts independent research on its products, making it more difficult for farmers and policymakers to make informed decisions that could foster more sustainable agriculture.
8. Falling short on feeding the world. Monsanto’s genetically engineered crops have done little to increase crop yields. Regardless, the company stands in the way of proven, scientifically defensible solutions. ■

Organic farming improves pollination success in strawberries

A newly published study has found that pollination success on organic strawberries was higher than on conventional strawberries.

The study published in *PLoS ONE* suggests that conversion to organic farming may rapidly increase pollination success and hence benefit the ecosystem service of crop pollination regarding both yield quantity and quality.

Pollination of insect pollinated crops has been found to be correlated to pollinator abundance and diversity.

Organic farming has the potential to mitigate negative effects of agricultural intensification on biodiversity, and, as this study showed, it also benefits crop pollination.

(Source: *PLoS ONE*) ■

**Organic product sales increase 15-20%**

For the first time in four years, TABS Group Inc., found a significant increase in the number of American consumers reporting that they purchased organic products and a jump in overall sales. Specifically, the percentage of all consumers stating they purchased organics rose from 39.8 percent in January 2011 to 41.8 percent in January 2012, a 5 percent increase.

Total sales of organic products rose an estimated 15 to 20%. This robust growth in sales is due in part to consumers deciding to expand the portfolio of organic products they purchase. The survey found an 11% increase in the number of product types purchased by a typical organic shopper.

Sales of organic beef increased by 48% last year, followed by ice cream — which saw a 44% jump — then hair care products at 28%, vegetables with 26%, milk at 25%, eggs with 21% and at 17% for chicken. ■

**A Full Line of Organic & Cage Free Eggs & Egg Products**

E.O.F(Farmer's Organic Foods) International, LLC
PO Box 23, 121 W. Broadway St., Blair, WI 54616
T: 608-989-2500 F: 608-989-2524
Web: www.farmerorganicfoods.com

“Eggs Produced the Way Nature Intended!”

Shell, Dry, Liquid/Frozen, ESL
Industrial, Food Service, & Retail Packaging Available
NON-GMO INITIATIVES

Non-GMO Project Verified is fastest growing eco-label

Non-GMO Project Verified is the fastest growing food eco-label in North America, as sales of certified products hit $1 billion in 2011, according to findings reported at Organic Monitor’s Sustainable Foods Summit in San Francisco in January.

The $1 billion figure is from SPINS, a market research and consulting firm for the natural products industry. However, the figure does not include foods sold at Whole Foods Markets or Walmart and is likely to underestimate the total sales figure by a wide margin, especially considering that Whole Foods’ 365 private label range, which contains nearly 250 products, is Non-GMO verified.

“Consumers are more aware of which food products most often contain GMOs, and the movement to label those products as such, whether voluntarily or mandated by the FDA with an official seal, is picking up momentum,” SPINS said in its recent 2012 Trendwatch report.

Rivara becomes first South American co. to join Non-GMO Project

Rivara S.A., an Argentine producer of processed corn, sunflower, and soy products, recently announced they are enrolling their products in the Non-GMO Project’s verification program.

Rivara’s products going through Non-GMO Project verification include corn grits, corn hominy feed flour, sunflower high oleic oil, sunflower meal, soybean oil, and soy flour.

Rivara is the first South American companies to participate in the Non-GMO Project.

For more information about Rivara, visit www.rivara.com.ar.

GM-free labels now allowed in France

Beginning July 1, French food companies can choose to label their products free of genetically modified ingredients, according to a government decree signed in January.

Trace amounts of less than 0.1% are allowed for plant-based items including flour and starch; animal-based ingredients (meat, eggs, etc.) are allowed to be labeled GMO-free if the livestock feed contains GM traces below either 0.1% or 0.9%.

The French ministries say the new “GMO-free” label will enable food companies to highlight their products as unique, while allowing consumers a wider choice.

SOURCE: Bloomberg, USA
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Four of Canada’s premier non-GMO soybean companies merge

PRO Seeds Marketing Inc., Agworks Inc., Hendrick Seeds Inc. and Hendrick AgriFoods merge to form Sevita International Corporation

In a move to bring the full Identity Preserved soybean value chain together, PRO Seeds Marketing, Hendrick Seeds, Hendrick AgriFoods and Agworks announced today that the companies have merged. The birth of Sevita International brings together the development of premium soybean genetics, seed varieties and export brands to deliver the benefits of a fully integrated value chain to farmers and end users alike. The company will now operate as Sevita International with their domestic seed marketing arm continuing under the PRO Seeds brand.

“We’ve built our business by understanding the needs of our growers and our export customers,” says David Hendrick, president, Sevita International. “In 2005, we established a non-GMO breeding program to enable us to deliver the quality genetics our export customers are looking for along with the yield and performance that our growers demand. With the merger, we can now deliver benefits from the breeding program directly to our growers and to our end use customers.”

Sevita International will focus on being market driven in the development of superior non-GMO food-grade soybeans to strengthen their leadership position in identifying and serving growing export market opportunities for Canadian soybean producers.

The ProTerra Foundation Conference 2012 - Sustainable Non-GMO Soy - is the leading global event addressing these questions and more. The conference will be held at the Natural History Museum in London, United Kingdom.

The aim of the event is to advance sustainability in the soy supply chain by enabling dialogue among leaders from government, finance, academia, and industry.

The conference will deliver informative presentations, an exhibition, and panel discussions that engage all conference participants, while providing abundant networking opportunities.

Topics that will be covered will include current sustainability strategies for soy, non-GMO-issues ranging from the farmer’s field to the consumer market, soy, sustainability and non-GMO in the food retail marketplace, and more.

For more information and to register email events@proterrafoundation.com.

Non-GMO soybean cooperative awarded USDA grant

USDA Rural Development State Director Jasper Schneider recently announced that Dakota Pride Cooperative was selected to receive business development assistance through the Value Added Producer Grant (VAPG) program.

Dakota Pride Cooperative in Jamestown, N.D. received a $49,000 grant to expand their market reach into South Korea by marketing identity-preserved, non-genetically modified soybeans. Since 1998, Dakota Pride has been creating marketing opportunities for its members by adding value to...
their crops through an identity preserved marketing system. The cooperative has grown from strictly focusing on domestic markets to capitalizing on opportunities overseas where there is a high interest in knowing where products have been produced.

2012 Midwest Specialty Grains Conference & Trade Show set for August 27-29

The Midwest Shippers Association’s 9th annual 2012 Midwest Specialty Grains Conference and Trade Show/Export Shipping Conference will be held August 27-29, 2012 in Minneapolis, MN.

A high-powered program is under development that will feature experts on the latest global market and industry trends and technology to meet world consumer demand for safe, high-quality food. MSA’s Trade Show will be the center of activities throughout the event. It will feature leading industry suppliers in production, processing, shipping and handling of premium grains and oilseeds – all geared for providing superior service to food manufacturers worldwide.

“We have an excellent venue this year for our trade show and overall event. The Minneapolis Hilton Hotel is one of the best conference facilities in the Twin Cities,” said MSA Executive Director Bruce Abbe.

For more information visit www.grainconference.org or email staff@mnshippers.org and request to be put on the mailing list.

2012 Non-GMO and Organic Grain Production Contracts

The following companies are offering contracts to farmers for production of organic and non-GMO grains in 2012.

Hendrick AgriFoods Inc.
Inkerman, Ontario Canada
• Phone: 613-989-3000
• Email: JanetM@hendrickagrifoods.com
• Contact: Janet Mackey
• Contracts offered: Identity Preserved, non-GMO soybeans, and JAS/NOP certified organic soybeans
• Premiums are offered for all contracted soybeans. Please call for current premium information.

SB&B Foods, Inc.
Casselton, ND
• Phone: 701-347-4900
• Email: rsinner@sb-b.com
• Contact: Robert Sinner
• Contracts offered: Non-GMO soybeans, organic soybeans
The recommended herb was Tulsi, or holy basil, known in India as “Queen of Herbs” and revered as a sacred plant for over 5,000 years. In 1997, Mitra, then president of the Indo Israel Trading Corporation, sought small farmers to grow organic Tulsi in Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh, where the land was relatively pure. Many were reluctant, seeing no market for the household plant and jaded by failed promises of other companies—but finally one farmer agreed. Now the company, which became ORGANIC INDIA in 2006, employs 900 contract farmers working 11,500 acres of certified organic land. With offices in India and Boulder, CO, ORGANIC INDIA exports 18 flavors of organic Tulsi tea as well as herbal supplements and spices to nearly 30 countries around the globe. The company also produces grains, organic psyllium, and cane syrups fortified with healing herbs.

With a dozen employees in the US and several hundred in India, the company aims to serve as a “vehicle of consciousness” in the global market by embracing a sustainable business model, a commitment to service, integrity, and quality, and respect for all beings and for Mother Nature. In a very tangible sense, ORGANIC INDIA is changing the agricultural, social, and financial climate for small, marginal farmers desperately in need of help.

**Organic Revolution**

Organic farming was central to the founders’ vision. “They saw how conventional agriculture, along with biotechnology, has destroyed the land, the economy and the spirits of the farmers,” said Michele Sondheimer, general manager of ORGANIC INDIA USA. “The number of farmer suicides is huge. So their mission became a restoration of healthy soil to nurture the farmers, so they can grow products promoting health for consumers worldwide.”

“I knew this could be the beginning of a revolution,” said Mitra in an interview with *Organic Processing Magazine*.

Beginning with the highest quality organic seed, agricultural experts teach crop rotation, sustainable harvesting, bio-dynamics, and harvesting and dehydration methods that protect the purity and potency of the herbs.

ORGANIC INDIA has helped transition 130,000 acres to organic (in addition to its own production land), and certified over 592,000 acres of forest as organic, employing native tribes to harvest wild herbs used in its product lines.

It has joined with the Uttar Pradesh forest department to plant 1,000,000 Tulsi saplings around the Taj Mahal to minimize the
effects of environmental pollution. CEO Krishan Gupta also announced a joint venture with Andhra Pradesh farmers to transition 600,000 acres of mangos to organic. 

ORGANIC INDIA products have certifications from SQF, HACCP, GMP, ISO 9001:2008, and USDA, EU, and India’s National Programme for Organic Production organic certifiers.

**Medicinal Herbs find global audience**

Dr. Narendra Singh, a pioneering researcher of medicinal herbal preparations for four decades, has given exclusive rights to his formulations to ORGANIC INDIA. Dr. Singh specializes in anti-stress properties and therapeutic applications of classical Ayurvedic herbs. Interestingly, the first farmer who agreed to grow organic Tulsi was from Azamgahr, Dr. Singh’s home village.

Tulsi is believed to support longevity, reduce stress and inflammation, enhance immunity, and improve digestion and metabolism.

**Organic, non-GMO status: Core of the Mission**

“Our organic umbrella protects us from GMOs,” said Michele. “Our products don’t have GMO alternatives yet...but we are nonetheless absolutely against GMOs, valuing the health of people and the land.”

The company has been very active in GMO labeling efforts, including sponsorship of the Sustainable Living Roadshow (SLR) this year. SLR participated in the Right2Know March from New York to Washington, passing out ORGANIC INDIA tea bags along the way. ORGANIC INDIA also participated in the Just Say No to GMOs rally in Denver; national sales manager Heather Henning acted as emcee.

“Supporting the non-GMO movement is at the top of our list,” said Michele. “Awareness of the devastating effect GMO crops have had on Indian farmers is behind everything we do.”

The Tulsi teas are sold in over 10,000 outlets including natural food stores, crossover groceries such as Wegmans, and through online marketers. ORGANIC INDIA also operates 10 exclusive retail outlets in India.

The financial goal is to make ORGANIC INDIA a Rs. 200 crore (about $40 million USD) company in three years, Krishan said.

The effect on India of this commercial success? In the village communities growing its organic products in rural India, asthma rates have dropped from 70% to less than 5%, miscarriages are at an all-time low, and livestock mortality is down as pesticide use has disappeared. Premiums have paid for children to go to school. Biodiversity is alive again. Women are receiving the same pay as men and are given free education and training. The ORGANIC INDIA Foundation opened its first free health care clinic in Azamgahr, where two full-time doctors treat patients with both Western and traditional medicines. And most important, farming has become a respected profession once again.

“Farmers have dignity in being farmers again,” Mitra said. “They’re no longer slaves of the chemical industry.”
Judge dismisses organic farmers’ lawsuit against Monsanto

A federal judge recently dismissed a lawsuit filed by groups representing organic farmers and seed companies against Monsanto.

US District Court Judge Naomi Buchwald, for the Southern District of New York, threw out the case brought by the Organic Seed Growers and Trade Association (OSGATA) and dozens of other plaintiff growers and organizations, criticizing the groups for a “transparent effort to create a controversy where none exists.”

In her ruling, Buchwald said Monsanto had not sued or even suggested taking any action against the plaintiffs. She found the plaintiffs claims to be unsubstantiated, “given that not one single plaintiff claims to have been so threatened.”

The plaintiffs filed the lawsuit last spring seeking legal protection from being sued by Monsanto if their crops are contaminated by the biotech giant’s patented genetically modified genes. They were not seeking any monetary compensation.

Last July, Monsanto filed a motion to dismiss the case, arguing that it would not sue a farmer if its patented GMOs are found in his field “as a result of inadvertent means.”

On January 31 Judge Buchwald heard oral arguments against the motion from the plaintiffs who were represented by Daniel Ravicher, an attorney with the Public Patent Foundation.

Jim Gerritsen, OSGATA president, said his group was disappointed by the judge’s ruling. “We think the judge erred in her ruling,” he told Reuters. “The reason we filed this lawsuit is because family farmers are at risk. That risk has not gone away.”

Gerritsen and Ravicher both have indicated that the plaintiffs would appeal.

(SOURCE: Reuters)
Don Huber, emeritus professor of plant pathology at Purdue University, gave a two-and-a-half hour indictment of glyphosate herbicide and genetically modified crops at the Acres USA conference in December.

Dr. Huber detailed the negative impacts of glyphosate and GM crops on plants, soils, and the environment and animal and human health. He called glyphosate the “most abused chemical in the history of agriculture” and described GM crops as a “failed system.”

Glyphosate’s negative impacts on plants
Huber first detailed the negative impacts of glyphosate on crops, soils, and the environment based on papers that he and other scientists, such as Robert Kremer, a microbiologist with the USDA’s Agricultural Research Service, have published.

Huber said glyphosate makes plants more susceptible to diseases, increases the virulence of soil-borne disease organisms, and immobilizes plant nutrients such as manganese. It is also toxic to beneficial soil organisms and accumulates in the soil.

There are more than 40 plant diseases reported with use of glyphosate, including many fungal diseases such as fusarium.

“There has been a 500% increase in fusarium and huge increases in sudden death syndrome (SDS) in soybeans,” Huber said.

He showed slides of Roundup Ready GM soybean fields in Iowa and Illinois affected by SDS. The plants were brown while adjacent fields of non-GMO soybean plants not treated with glyphosate were healthy and green.

Huber referred to a published paper showing significant reductions of minerals in RR soybeans treated with glyphosate: 26% less calcium, 13% less magnesium, 49% less iron, and 45% less manganese.

Another slide demonstrated how, contrary to claims, glyphosate doesn’t degrade in the soil: plants growing in a field that had been treated with glyphosate for 10 years were stunted and yellow while plants growing in a field treated with glyphosate for a year were taller and green.

Other problems Huber highlighted included “bubble” kernels on corn cobs that received a late application of glyphosate, damage to winter wheat from residual glyphosate in the soil, poorer...
In February consumer groups Food & Water Watch, Consumers Union, and the Center for Food Safety submitted a formal petition asking the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to classify and evaluate AquaBounty’s “AquAdvantage” genetically engineered salmon and all of its components as a food additive. The groups’ legal petition contends that the current agency review process that treats GE salmon only as a new animal drug is insufficient to protect public health, and that the agency is required by law to review the GE salmon under what should be a more rigorous process for any novel substance added to food.

**Negative impacts on animals**

Huber then detailed a litany of feed and food concerns about the effects of glyphosate on animals and human health.

He referred to a 2002 statement from the US Cattlemen’s Association to the US Congress describing “devastating problems with pregnant cows and calves” and that “high numbers of fetuses are aborting for no apparent reason.”

Huber links the problems to feed from GM corn and soybeans. He showed a slide of inflamed stomachs of pigs fed GM feed compared to normal colored stomachs of pigs fed non-GMO feed.

Another slide showed cattle stomachs suffering from chronic botulism.

“Glyphosate in animal feed can cause a microbial imbalance and chronic botulism,” Huber said.

**New pathogen causing abortions in pigs and cows?**

Last year, Huber caused a stir when he wrote a letter to US Secretary of Agriculture Tom Vilsack warning him about a “pathogen new to science” that has been linked to glyphosate and Roundup Ready crops. Huber said the pathogen, which he describes as a microfungus, is linked to illness and reproductive problems in animals and poses threats to human health.

Since writing the letter, Huber has received many letters and emails from veterinarians reporting problems with animals fed GM feed. A veterinarian in Michigan wrote him about a sow (hog) herd that has had an increasing number of deaths and reproductive problems. The cause is unknown, and the vet wonders if it is the pathogen Huber identified. Similar reproductive problems have been reported in cows. Last November Hoard’s Dairyman reported that cows are losing up to 20% of pregnancies.

“We shouldn’t expose the entire agricultural infrastructure to a massive experiment,” Huber said.

**“Willing to sacrifice our children”**

The introduction of GM crops, Huber said, was a “betrayal of the public trust by a failure to address potential risks. The irresponsible and premature widespread use is based on flawed and unsound scientific assumptions.”

Huber said glyphosate and GM crops are likely harming human health. He cited significant increases in inflammatory bowel syndrome, Crohn’s disease, and certain types of cancer. Other diseases such as food allergies, autism, endometriosis, Alzheimer’s, and Parkinson’s may also be linked to glyphosate and GM crops, he said.

“Glyphosate has totally changed the environment; it has impacted humans, vegetables, grains, fruits, plants, feeds, and animals,” Huber said.

In conclusion Huber said that our basic responsibility in agriculture is providing safe and nutritious food. Instead, with current GMO-based agricultural practices, “we are willing to sacrifice our children and jeopardize future generations based on failed promises and flawed science just to benefit the ‘bottom line’ of a commercial enterprise.”

The groups warn that the potential health risks of GE salmon are no different from a number of food additives the FDA has banned in the past, including those that are cancer causing.

“FDA’s choice to allow the first proposed transgenic animal for food to somehow only be reviewed as a drug is contrary to law, science and common sense,” said George Kimbrell, Senior Attorney for the Center for Food Safety. “Public health and transparency should be championed, not skirted, particularly when contemplating such an unprecedented approval.”
Group counters Gates Foundation’s emphasis on GMOs to “feed the world”

In his annual letter from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Bill Gates called for nations to embrace biotechnology or face starvation of their people.

The Center for Food Safety (CFS) published a response to Gates’ letter, citing the documented failure of GMOs to deliver results and suggesting that agroecological approaches would work far better, while allowing communities to have sovereignty over their food.

Gates contends that GMO opponents are adding to the problem of starvation, since most come from the rich nations most responsible for climate change, which is threatening food supplies in poor countries.

But CFS Executive Director Andrew Kimbrell blasted back: “The biotech industry has exploited the image of the world’s poor and hungry to advance a form of agriculture that is expensive, input-intensive, and of little or no relevance to developing country farmers.”

The emerging consensus of international development experts—and the World Bank’s 2008 International Assessment of Agricultural Knowledge, Science and Technology for Development (IAASTD)—is that real solutions to addressing global hunger must be inexpensive, low-input and utilize local/regional resources as much as possible—all areas where GM crops fail to deliver.

(SOURCE: Associated Press)

NGOs blast findings of GM crop report

An annual biotech crop report points to “unprecedented adoption of genetically modified crop technologies,” with an 8% growth over 2010. In 2011, 12 million hectares (29.6 million acres) were added globally, says the report from the International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA).

But a number of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) blasted the report as erroneous, misleading, and a blatant example of “cooking the books.” For example, “acreage” is calculated not from actual hectares, but from how many GMO traits have been planted—a crop stacked with 3 GM traits planted on 100 hectares is presented as 300 hectares.

“Contrary to claims in the report, GM crops remain a global failure with only about 1% of global farmers cultivating GM crops,” said Greenpeace campaigner Éric Darrier.

Greenpeace claims that GM food and crops are still rejected in most parts of the world by farmers, consumers, and governments. After 16 years of commercialization, just four countries (United States, Brazil, Argentina, and Canada) represent 80% of the GM crop acreage.

GM corn farmers violate planting regulations

The number of US farmers failing to comply with requirements for planting Monsanto’s genetically
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modified corn has tripled in the last year.

Data from the Agricultural Biotechnology Stewardship Technical Committee (ABSTC) show that close to 41% of 3,053 farmers inspected in 2011 did not plant an adjacent area of non-GMO corn to minimize possibility of bugs developing resistance to the crops. The “refuge” area is important to prevent developments like the one found last July by Iowa State University – rootworms became resistant to Cry3Bb1, a Bt gene present in Monsanto’s GM corn. Resistance may also be occurring in Illinois and throughout the Midwest, where rootworms are found consuming the corn.

The ABSTC releases compliance reports to the FDA each January; in 2010, 15% of farmers were found out of compliance.

According to studies done by Syngenta and Dow, between 14 and 20 million acres of corn and soy may be infested with resistant pests.

(Source: Bloomberg News)

MONSANTO ROUNDUP

Monsanto votes down shareholder proposal to study GMO impacts

At its annual meeting on January 24, Monsanto’s shareholders defeated a shareholder proposal to examine “material financial risks or operational impacts” of the chemicals and genetically modified organisms the company sells.

John Harrington, CEO of Napa-based Harrington Investments, presented the proposal to address current consumer, legal, and regulatory challenges Monsanto is facing. Monsanto urged the shareholders to reject the proposal, citing the importance of farmers’ “freedom to choose” their agricultural methods.

While I am heartened by Monsanto’s sudden concern for the freedom of farmers, the unfortunate reality facing American farmers right now, is that genetic drift from GMO crops is contaminating their conventional and organic crops,” Harrington said. “The potential legal implications for Monsanto are staggering.”

(Source: PRNewswire-USNewswire; The Associated Press)

“Occupy” groups stage global protests against Monsanto

While shareholders of biotech giant Monsanto met on January 24 at company headquarters for a meeting, protestors world-wide staged events to oppose genetically modified crops, herbicides, and the impacts of biotechnology on small farmers.

In Maui, a week of rallies, marches and vigils began with a protest at Monsanto’s offices in Kihei, highlighting Monsanto’s role in controlling the food supply, manipulating government, and poisoning the environment.

In Cambridge, England, protestors from Occupy London held a “flash protest” at Monsanto’s office in Cambourne Business Park. Robert Hall, a farmer from Devon, said “I’m a farmer and genetically modified seeds and produce make me so, so angry. It’s our sacred right to choose the food we eat; GM deprives us of this.”

In Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, occupiers planned a protest at Monsanto’s Research Farm, focusing
on the danger of herbicides.

In France, one hundred anti-GMO activists dumped bags of GM corn at Monsanto Trièbes, in the Aude. They were denouncing the company’s plan to sell GM corn seed despite a ban on MON810 from the Ministry of Agriculture.

(SOURCES: Maui Now; Cambridge Evening News; News Talk Radio; AFP)

Monsanto found guilty of chemical poisoning in France

A French court ruled in February that biotech giant Monsanto was guilty of chemical poisoning of a French farmer, a judgment that could lead to other health claims due to pesticides.

In the first such case heard in court in France, Paul François, a grain farmer, says he suffered neurological problems including memory loss, headaches, and stammering after inhaling Monsanto’s Lasso weedkiller in 2004.

He blames the company for not providing adequate warnings on the product label.

François and other farmers suffering from illness set up an association last year to make a case that their health problems should be linked to their use of agricultural chemicals. Previous health claims from farmers have failed because of the difficulty of establishing clear links between illnesses and exposure to pesticides. “I am alive today, but part of the farming population is going to be sacrificed and is going to die because of this,” François, 47, told Reuters.

Monsanto’s Lasso was banned in France in 2007 following an EU directive.

France, the EU’s largest agricultural producer, is now targeting a 50% reduction in pesticide use between 2008 and 2018, with initial results showing a 4% cut in farm and non-farm use in 2008-2010.

(SOURCE: Reuters)

Monsanto’s GMO plans reopen Agent Orange wounds in Vietnam

During the Vietnam War, between 2.1 to 4.8 million Vietnamese were exposed to the toxic defoliant Agent Orange, manufactured by Monsanto, now linked to numerous cancers, birth defects, and chronic diseases afflicting the country’s population—and American military veterans—since the war.

As Vietnam now considers cultivation of genetically modified products, will the country be inviting in the same toxins that have destroyed the lives of many of its people? In fact, new GM corn varieties are being designed to resist 2,4-D, a toxic herbicide and component of Agent Orange.

Monsanto, along with Syngenta and Pioneer Hi-Bred have been licensed to carry out lab research and GM crops tests in Vietnam.

Senior Lieutenant General Nguyen Van Rinh and chairman of the Vietnam Association of Victims of Agent Orange warned, “By introducing [GMOs] paired with toxic weed killers, the tragic legacy of Agent Orange might repeat itself.”

(SOURCE: Thanh Nien News)
Organic production 30 times greater than GM Os in Europe

Public resistance to genetically modified crops has ensured that the area grown in Europe in 2011 remained at 0.1% of all arable land, according to Friends of the Earth Europe. In comparison, organic farming accounted for 3.7%.

Mute Schimpf, food campaigner at Friends of the Earth Europe, said: “The public’s rejection of genetically modified crops has ensured that they are confined to small pockets of the European Union. Politicians need to listen to public opinion and throw their weight behind the demand for greener and safer farming. Genetically modified crops should play no role in the future of Europe’s farming.”

Monsanto pulls GM corn sales from France

Despite the fact that the highest French court recently overturned a three-year ban on Monsanto’s genetically modified corn (MON810), the firm won’t be selling the GM crop in France in 2012 or thereafter.

A company statement reads, “Monsanto considers that favorable conditions for the sale of the MON810 in France in 2012 and beyond are not in place.”

The government announced in January that it would stick to the ban that the court had annulled, and would reinstate the moratorium on the GM corn before spring planting.

The company’s withdrawal from the French seed market follows BASF’s recent decision to cease sales activity in Germany.

(Source: Reuters)

Greenpeace campaign leads China to stop development of GM rice

The Chinese government has suspended commercialization of genetically modified rice, according to a report by Greenpeace East Asia.

Greenpeace then launched a campaign to stop GM rice. First they exposed that scientists developing the rice would stand to gain financially from its introduction. Then they launched a publicity campaign that GM rice was a threat to food sovereignty and that multi-national biotech firms would profit and not Chinese farmers. Greenpeace also received support from Chinese politicians and celebrities, including Mao Zedong’s
daughter. Several Chinese scholars also signed a petition urging caution on GM rice and submitted it to the Parliament.

In September of last year, the Economic Observer reported that China had suspended commercialization of GM rice.

Bloomberg News also reported that China hasn’t approved large-scale commercialization of GM grain seeds and won’t produce GM crops this year.

Will Japan go for GM papaya?

The genetically modified “Rainbow” papaya, grown in Hawaii, is now for sale in Japan, labeled as a GM food according to Japanese law. It is the only GM fruit available there, in a country long wary of GMOs.

In the US, pressure is mounting for the government to require GMO labeling as Japan has implemented.

The Rainbow papaya was developed to save the papaya industry from a devastating virus. It was “vaccinated” so to speak, by altering the genes to produce a tiny piece of the virus in each cell, which triggers the papaya’s immune system.

Japan, the largest consumer of Hawaiian papaya, was finally convinced the product was safe enough for consumption. US consumers remain skeptical.

(SOURCE: Voice of America, USA)

100% natural, solvent-free sunflower lecithin available

Austrade Inc., is adding a new product to its existing line of sunflower lecithins. GIRALEC SF is a solvent-free, unbleached, liquid sunflower lecithin. GIRALEC SF is obtained via mechanical extraction resulting in a raw lecithin without the use of chemical solvents such as hexane. By strictly cold pressing the sunflower seeds we can guarantee lecithin in its purest, most natural state. GIRALEC SF has the same properties and functionality as our conventional standard grade liquid sunflower lecithin.
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GMO food is simply not in our nature.

At Nature’s Path Organic we’re helping lead the charge to see GMO foods RIP ASAP.

At Nature’s Path we’re committed to the cause and dream of the day when all food is organic food. For over a decade we’ve been a leading voice for the Non GMO movement. Our involvement in the Non GMO Project board, trade industry discussions, papers and government lobbying are bearing fruit. The awareness of GMO and its impact on our food supply is higher than ever.

Awareness is a good start. But we need to do more. Join us in lending your voice and support to help make GMOs a thing of the past.

www.naturespath.com
GIRALEC SF is non-GMO, allergen-free, vegan approved, Kosher and Halal certified.

Applications include any natural, clean label product requiring lecithin in its purest form, such as raw foods, infant formula and baby foods, cosmetics such as skin care, pharmaceuticals, and many others.

For additional information or a sample, go to www.austradeinc.com or send an email to info@austradeinc.com.

Nature’s Path announces grants for organic gardens

In continued support of their belief that everyone has the right to fresh, organic, chemical-free food, Nature’s Path is pleased to announce the winners of the second annual Gardens for Good Grant contest, which helps put organic community gardens where they will serve those who need them most. The three deserving non-profit organizations that are recipients of the grants are CAPI USA in Minneapolis, MN; GroW Gardens in Washington, D.C. and Oliver’s Garden Project in Hamilton, ON, Canada. The Gardens for Good Grant program is part of the Nature’s Path commitment to urban agriculture and aims to empower communities to take action and grow organically where they live.

“These organizations are making such a difference in their communities and we are honored to work with them,” says Arran Stephens, Nature’s Path’s President and co-founder. “Our goal is not only to provide organic food for those who might not have access to it, but also cultivate socially responsible community leaders who will bring people together to create positive change.”

Nurture, Inc. introduces HAPPY NATURALS Baby Food

Nurture Inc. recently announced the launch of HAPPY NATURALS, a new line of 100% natural baby food designed specifically for families in Texas’ Women, Infants and Children (WIC) program. The products are based on the principles set forth by the Environmental Working Group’s Clean 15 and Dirty Dozen list in order to reduce pesticide exposure and provide an affordable option while still meeting the guidelines of the WIC program.

All of Nurture Inc.’s proceeds from the HAPPY NATURALS line will be donated to The FEED Foundation to help feed America’s children in need.

SK Food offers precooked bean, pea and lentil powders and flakes

SK Food International introduces the addition of identity preserved certified organic and conventional non-GMO precooked bean, pea and lentil powders and flakes to its extensive line of premium quality ingredients.

Custom-milled from various varieties of dry edible beans, peas and lentils, these precooked powders and flakes offer a viable high-protein alternative to other ingredients that carry gluten and allergen risks.

SK Food offers a granulation from a coarse flake to a fine powder to fit customers’ specifications.

For more information, email: skfood@skfood.com or visit www.skfood.com.
Calendar of Events

Natural Products Expo West
March 8-11, Anaheim, CA. www.expowest.com

Green Festival
April 21-22, New York, NY. www.greenfestivals.org

ProTerra Foundation Sustainable Non-GMO Soy Conference
April 24, London, United Kingdom. www.proterrafoundation.com

Green Festival
May 5-6, Chicago, IL. www.greenfestivals.org

Baker Creek Spring Planting Festival
May 6-7, Mansfield, MO. www.rareseeds.org

Mother Earth News Fair
June 2-3, Puyallup, WA. www.motherearthnews.com/fair

IFT Annual Meeting and Food Expo
June 25-26, Las Vegas, NV. www.am-fe.ift.org/cms/
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Learn how to go non-GMO!

GMOs (genetically modified organisms) threaten human health, the environment, and seed security and diversity.

THE ORGANIC & NON-GMO REPORT

is the only magazine that keeps you up-to-date on GMOs and the growing non-GMO food trend:

- See why non-GMO is the fastest growing natural food category
- Learn which foods contain GMOs and how to avoid them
- Know which companies are producing verified non-GMO foods
- Access non-GMO seed sources for your garden

“I would highly recommend The Organic & Non-GMO Report.”
Nell Newman, co-founder, Newman's Own Organics, Aptos, CA

Call 1-800-854-0586 or visit www.non-gmoreport.com
GMO or Non GMO?  
If That is the Question,  
Eurofins GeneScan can provide the Answer.

Eurofins GeneScan has offered the global agrifood industry the most advanced analytical tools for the detection of GMO crops for over a decade. Our internationally recognized services are always backed by our commitment to superior quality, quick turnaround time, responsive customer service, and competitive pricing.

- Unsurpassed Portfolio of PCR Tests for All Commercial Events  
- ISO 17025 Accredited Services  
- Export Testing Expertise for EU, Korea, Japan and Other Markets  
- Part of The Eurofins Network with Over 150 Laboratories Globally and Over 100,000 Analytical Methods  
- IP Non-GMO Auditing Programs for Most Products and Processes  

To learn more, call 504-297-4330, visit www.gmotesting.com or email us at gmo@eurofinsus.com.